RECORD OF EXECUTIVE DECISION (THE DECISIONS LIST)

| Date: | Decision Maker: | Subject to Call-in* |
|-------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| 24 May 2024 | Cabinet | Yes |

SUBJECT OF DECISION:

Leader of the Council's' Items - Joint Report of the Leader of the Council and the Economic Growth, Regeneration & Tourism Portfolio Holder - A.1 - Freeport East Progress and Business Plan

Decision:

RESOLVED that Cabinet –

- (a) approves the Freeport East annual business plan for 2024/25;
- (b) delegates authority to the Leader of the Council to approve future annual business plans on behalf of Tendring District Council as a Founding Member of Freeport East Ltd.;
- (c) approves the appointment of Lee Heley, Corporate Director (Place and Economy), as the Council's Alternate Director of Freeport East Ltd., as nominated by the Portfolio Holder for Economic Growth, Regeneration & Tourism who is the Council's main Director on the company;
- (d) approves Freeport East Ltd.'s Business Rate Relief Strategy (Local Growth and Investment Strategy); and
- (e) notes the progress that has been made with the Freeport East programme.

Reasons for Decision:

It was felt that:-

- approving the Business Plan would allow Freeport East to operate with a framework of expenditure and report back against the priorities set for the year. It also would allow Freeport East to publish the business plan and to be open and transparent about how the business rates were being used to meet the objectives of Government and local partners;
- (ii) by appointing an Alternate Director, the Council could ensure that it had sufficient cover for future Board meetings; and
- (iii) approving the Retained Business Rates Strategy would ensure that there was a robust process by which the business rates were allocated, helping to achieve the Freeport East objectives, which in turn would ensure that local people benefited from the Freeport. Having an agreed and published Strategy was a stipulated Government condition of all Freeports.

Alternative Options Considered:

The alternative option was for the Council not to approve the Business Plan and the

Retained Business Rates Strategy, however this would prevent Freeport East Ltd from being able to operate within the framework agreed by the Board of Directors, and would result in the company not achieving the work programme for 2024/25, which included progressing Bathside Bay, nor meeting the conditions set out by DLUHC.

Cabinet could also have chosen not to appoint an Alternate Director to the Company, but the Council would not be able to vote as meetings if the Director was unable to attend, and would therefore have less influence over the strategic direction of the Company.

Conflicts of Interest Declared(and Dispensations Granted by the Monitoring Officer)

Councillor I J Henderson declared an Other Registrable Interest insofar as he had been appointed to the Board of Freeport East Ltd on behalf of TDC. The Monitoring Officer (Lisa Hastings) following the principles within Section 33 of the Localism Act 2011 and in accordance with Paragraph 9.1 and paragraph 6 of Appendix B of the Tendring District Council's Members Code of Conduct, considered it was appropriate for the Cabinet Member appointed to the Company to have to a dispensation to be able to present the item, take part in the debate and vote on the item.

Consultation with Ward Member:

N/A

Contact Officer:

Lee Heley (Corporate Director (Place & Economy))

| Date: | Decision Maker: | Subject to Call-in* |
|-------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| 24 May 2024 | Cabinet | Yes |

SUBJECT OF DECISION:

Cabinet Members' Items - Report of the Economic Growth, Regeneration & Tourism Portfolio Holder - A.2 - Update on Levelling Up Fund and Capital Regeneration Projects

Decision:

RESOLVED that Cabinet -

- (a) notes the acceptance of the two funding agreements between TDC and ECC for the delivery of the ECC led schemes within the LUF and CRP projects respectively; and
- (b) requests officers to investigate the opportunity to bring forward the Milton Road element of the Dovercourt scheme from the Capital Regeneration Project funding and report the outcome back to Cabinet in the context of the wider scheme.

Reasons for Decision:

It was felt that initiating the demolition of the existing car park on the Milton Road site, as

well as site clearance, surveys and demolition as needed for the two Victoria Street sites, would ensure the sites were ready for development in time for commencement of the main works, whilst ensuring the sites were made safe for the sites' neighbours as well as the general public.

Alternative Options Considered:

Not to investigate bringing forward the Milton Road demolition. The decision on the Milton Road carpark would be taken alongside the wider construction programme in the knowledge of actual rather than projected construction costs. However, progressing the demolition in a timely fashion would allow for the realisation of the benefits of this aspect of the scheme earlier, and so investigating the potential supports accelerated delivery.

Conflicts of Interest Declared (and Dispensations Granted by the Monitoring Officer)

None

Consultation with Ward Member:

N/A

Contact Officer:

Lee Heley (Corporate Director (Place & Economy))

| Date: | Decision Maker: | Subject to Call-in* |
|-------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| 24 May 2024 | Cabinet | Yes |

SUBJECT OF DECISION:

Cabinet Members' Items - Report of the Economic Growth, Regeneration & Tourism Portfolio Holder - A.3 - Progress with Town Centre Regeneration through the Clacton Long Term Plan for Towns and Dovercourt High Street Accelerator

Decision:

RESOLVED that Cabinet -

- (a) welcomes and acknowledges receipt of the Grant Determination Letter awarding the second tranche of Long Term Plan for Towns capacity funding in the sum of £200,000 to support the development of the Long-Term Plan, including additional community engagement activity;
- (b) allocates up to £30,000 of the funding in (a) above to support community engagement and consultation in support of the development and implementation of Clacton's Long Term Plan for Towns;
- (c) delegates the authorisation of the spending of the remaining Long Term Plan for Towns Capacity Payment of up to £220,000 (as set out in the report) to the Portfolio Holder for

Economic Growth, Regeneration & Tourism following recommendations from the Town Board on how best to use this money, in line with Government's Guidance, to support the development of their Long-Term Plan;

- (d) subject to (c) above, any such decisions will require a report in support explaining the projects and will be made following consultation with the Chief Executive and the Section 151 Officer, taking into account the latter's responsibility to submit a Statement of Grant Usage and an Assurance Letter to DLUHC;
- (e) welcomes and acknowledges year 2 (2024/25) of the High Street Accelerator (HSA) Funding offered by the Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities to the value of £187,000 to support improvement of the town centre in Harwich and Dovercourt:
- (f) delegates the authorisation of the spending of that money in (e) above to the Leader of the Council, in consultation with the relevant Portfolio Holder for the specific projects, and the decisions will identify the impact on resources to delivery across the Council;
- (g) welcomes and acknowledges receipt of the Grant Determination Letter received 8 May 2024 awarding £500,000 funding (£450,000 Capital, £50,000 Revenue) for the financial year 2024/25 to spend in line with the expression of interest in support improvements to green space in Harwich and Dovercourt; and
- (h) delegates the authorisation of the spending of that money in (g) above to the Leader of the Council, in consultation with the Portfolio Holder for Leisure and Public Realm; and further delegates entering into a Memorandum of Understanding for this funding to the Corporate Director (Place and Economy), in consultation with the Section 151 Officer.

Reasons for Decision:

It was recognised that the Council was committed to building pride in the District, championing the local environment, and promoting local heritage. The funding provided by Government under the Long Term Plan for Towns and High Street Accelerator would support the Council to implement its priorities in Clacton and Harwich. The Council had agreed in March 2024 to take forward the Long Term Plan for Towns and the High Street Accelerator in its highlight priorities for 2024-25. The planned physical improvements and regeneration initiatives in Clacton and Harwich were ready to bring substantial benefits not only to their residents but also to visitors from across the District and beyond. Community engagement and consultation was a key part of the Long Term Plan for Towns, and so allocating funding to this activity supported the delivery of the programme to the timelines required by Government.

Alternative Options Considered:

The alternative option was to not use the funding for either Long Term Plan for Towns or for the High Street Accelerator. This option had been considered carefully, as the scale of project delivery in addition to other current and potential projects under the levelling up banner, would put a significant strain on Council resources. The Council already faced capacity challenges as it built financial sustainability for the long term, delivered other levelling up schemes, and managed business as usual.

However, the scale of benefit of these two schemes was such that it was recommended to proceed, with additional resourcing, across a number of specialisms including front line delivery and back office support to be put in place to enable project delivery. It was also noted that project delivery would be kept under review and if at a future point it was not

considered possible to meet the time scales required by Government, the Council would not proceed with schemes, to avoid consequent financial risks.

Conflicts of Interest Declared(and Dispensations Granted by the Monitoring Officer)

Councillors I J Henderson and M E Stephenson both declared an Other Registrable Interest in that they had both been appointed to the Clacton Town Board on behalf of the Council. The Monitoring Officer (Lisa Hastings), following the principles within Section 33 of the Localism Act 2011 and in accordance with Paragraph 9.1 and paragraph 6 of Appendix B of the Tendring District Council's Members Code of Conduct, considered that it was appropriate for these Cabinet Member appointed to that board to have to a dispensation to be able to present the item, take part in the debate and vote on the item.

Consultation with Ward Member:

N/A

Contact Officer:

Lee Heley (Corporate Director (Place & Economy))

| Date: | Decision Maker: | Subject to Call-in* |
|-------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| 24 May 2024 | Cabinet | Yes |

SUBJECT OF DECISION:

Cabinet Members' Items - Report of the Housing & Planning Portfolio Holder - A.4 - Consideration and Adoption of a Reasonable Adjustments Policy, Vulnerability Policy and Unacceptable Customer Behaviour Policy

Decision:

RESOLVED that Cabinet –

- (a) approves and formally adopts the Reasonable Adjustments Policy, Vulnerability Policy and Unacceptable Customer Behaviour Policy;
- (b) authorises their direct implementation; and
- (c) authorises the Corporate Director (Operations and Delivery) to make future updates or amendments to the above policies, in consultation with the Portfolio Holder responsible for Housing.

Reasons for Decision:

In order to ensure that the policies were appropriately adopted, in accordance with the Council's Constitution, and to evidence compliance with regulatory standard.

Alternative Options Considered:

The only alternative option considered was to not implement these policies.

The policies were designed to assist the Council in meeting the Regulator of Social Housing's revised Consumer Standards, which came into effect on 1 April 2024 as well as the requirements and recommendations of the Housing Ombudsman.

Failure to meet the Consumer Standards could result in the Regulator using its enforcement powers, which included requiring a registered provider to submit a performance improvement plan or to take particular actions set out in an enforcement notice. The Regulator would also be able to authorise an appropriate person to enter a social housing premises to take emergency remedial action and issue penalties or require the housing provider to pay compensation.

The absence of suitable policies also made the day-to-day operation of the service more difficult with the potential for inconsistencies in approach and increased the likelihood of complaints.

Conflicts of Interest Declared(and Dispensations Granted by the Monitoring Officer)

Councillor Bray declared for the record that he was a housing tenant of Tendring District Council.

Consultation with Ward Member:

N/A

Contact Officer:

Damian Williams (Corporate Director (Operations & Delivery))

| Date: | Decision Maker: | Subject to Call-in* |
|-------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| 24 May 2024 | Cabinet | Yes |

SUBJECT OF DECISION:

Cabinet Members' Items - Report of the Housing & Planning Portfolio Holder - A.5 - Annual Housing Complaints Performance and Service Improvement Report including Self-Assessment against the Housing Ombudsman's Complaint Handling Code

Decision:

RESOLVED that Cabinet -

- (a) endorses the Portfolio Holder for Housing and Planning performing the role of Member Responsible for Complaints for the purposes of the Housing Ombudsman Complaint Handling Code and associated guidance;
- (b) in accordance with the Housing Ombudsman's Complaint Handling Code and in compliance with Cabinet's scrutiny and oversight requirements, formally receives the

Council's Annual Complaints Performance and Service Improvement Report, which includes the Annual Self-assessment against the Code, as set out in Appendices A and B to this report (A.5);

(c) formally provides its response to the Annual Complaints Performance and Service Improvement report, for publication as follows:-

"We thank Councillor Baker for presenting this report to Cabinet today. We fully endorse everything that he has said and warmly welcome the content of the report and its recommendations.

As a Cabinet we recognise the importance of providing good quality housing and that responding to complaints forms an important part of that service.

We fully support and adopt the Housing Ombudsman's revised complaint handling code and are committed to high quality complaint handling. It is important that we learn from all complaints and provide a positive response.

We support Councillor Baker, as Housing Portfolio Holder, taking on responsibility for housing complaints and he will ensure that we as a Cabinet receive regular information and updates on complaints, in particular, what they tell us about our housing service, what we have learnt from them and what we have done to put things right.

It is notable that the number of complaints received has been increasing and that is something that will be explored over the coming months to see what we can do better as part of a programme of continuous improvement for our housing service."

- (d) authorises Officers to publish both the Report and the Cabinet's response on the Council's website within the section relating to complaints and to submit the self-assessment to the Housing Ombudsman by 30 June 2024; and
- (e) notes that there is to be a review in 2024/25 of the Council's Corporate and Housing Complaints procedures to deliver the expectations of the now closely aligned Local Government & Social Care and Housing Ombudsmen Codes.

Reasons for Decision:

In order to formally approve the self-assessment carried out before its submission to the Housing Ombudsman

Alternative Options Considered:

The Council's Complaints self-assessment sets out how the Council complied with the Housing Ombudsman's Complaint Handling Code and the evidence to support this position. The only alternative option would be to not complete this assessment.

The Social Housing (Regulation) Act 2023 placed a duty on the Housing Ombudsman to monitor compliance with its statutory Complaint Handling Code. This meant that the Housing Ombudsman was required to ensure that all landlords met the standards set out in the Code for complaint handling. To assist with this, all landlords were required to submit their self-assessment to the Housing Ombudsman by 30 June each year, commencing this year.

If this was to not be completed or submitted, the Council would risk non-compliance with the Complaints Handling Code

Where the Housing Ombudsman identified any concerns with a landlord's compliance with the Code, they would engage with them to bring them back into compliance promptly. If there was evidence of ongoing failures in compliance, the Ombudsman would consider using their wider powers including Complaint Handling Failure Orders (CHFO). The Ombudsman Self-assessment Guidance stated a landlord's failure to provide their submission may lead to them being issued with a Type 3 CHFO (non-compliance with the statutory Complaint Handling Code).

Conflicts of Interest Declared (and Dispensations Granted by the Monitoring Officer)

Councillor Bray declared for the record that he was a housing tenant of Tendring District Council.

Consultation with Ward Member:

N/A

Contact Officer:

Damian Williams (Corporate Director (Operations & Delivery))

| Date: | Decision Maker: | Subject to Call-in* |
|-------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| 24 May 2024 | Cabinet | Yes |

SUBJECT OF DECISION:

Cabinet Members' Items - Report of the Housing & Planning Portfolio Holder - A.6 - Further Update on Spendells House and Review of Budget and Reference under Section 5 of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989

Decision:

RESOLVED that Cabinet –

- a) formally receives the Monitoring Officer's report and in response, notes the update on progress, increased contractual costs and additional budgets required to complete the project;
- b) continues to support, acknowledging the risks highlighted, the principle of the Spendells house site being retained and reconfigured for the provision of temporary housing accommodation:
- c) notes the financial implications incurred but that the most cost effective route forward is to complete the scheme and the contract in place;
- d) allocates an additional £0.850m capital and £0.01m revenue from reserves to facilitate the completion of the project;
- e) authorises the additional contractual works to be undertaken and recorded through contract management, as set out in the report (A.6);
- f) acknowledges that managers have been reminded of the internal control arrangements in place and the need for these to be followed in order to ensure such contract instructions are not proceeded with in future without the necessary approvals in place;

- g) requests that the Portfolio Holder for Housing and Planning provides corporate oversight of the completion of the project within the approvals in place;
- h) notes and endorses the instructions and actions of the Chief Executive, as set out within the Addendum Report;
- i) requests that Officers provide an update against the instructions and actions taken by the Chief Executive as soon as practicable; and
- j) acknowledges the potential alternative to using HRA Reserves highlighted within the Addendum Report and requests that the Section 151 Officer keeps the options under review during the year and reports back to Cabinet as necessary.

Reasons for Decision:

In order to:-

- (1) progress the provision of council owned and managed temporary housing accommodation;
- (2) formally receive the Section 5 report from the Council's Monitoring Officer and to consider its contents and Cabinet's response thereto;
- (3) gain the approval required for the contractual instructions and budget allocation, as variation orders had already been issued for the additional works, which were necessary to complete the project;
- (4) mitigate the reputational risk associated with this decision, as the Council has not followed its governance arrangements in this instance all managers would be formally written to reminding them of the seriousness of over-expenditure and committing to unfunded expenditure. Managers were also to be reminded of the robust internal controls in place and the absolute need to follow them in order to ensure that this event does not occur again.

Alternative Options Considered:

- 1. Discontinue the project;
- 2. Terminate the current contract and seek to re-procure the remaining works;
- 3. Allocate additional funds, confirm variation instructions to the contract authorising the additional works and complete the project.

Full details of the above options were set out in the Portfolio Holder's report.

Conflicts of Interest Declared (and Dispensations Granted by the Monitoring Officer)

None

Consultation with Ward Member:

N/A

Contact Officer:

Damian Williams (Corporate Director (Operations & Delivery))

| Date: | Decision Maker: | Subject to Call-in* |
|-------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| 24 May 2024 | Cabinet | Yes |

Cabinet Members' Items - Report of the Partnerships Portfolio Holder - A.7 - Family Solutions Update

Decision:

RESOLVED that Cabinet -

- (a) approves the value for money / benefit review as set out in the Portfolio Holder's report (A.7);
- (b) following the earlier set aside of the associated funding, agrees to the establishment of an additional Family Solutions Officer Post in Harwich for a fixed term period of 12 months;
- (c) requests that future funding of this fixed term post be included within the intended wider review of external grant funding; and
- (d) requests that potential future funding be also considered as part of the review of ongoing cost pressures within the long term financial forecast.

Reasons for Decision:

It was recognised that the work of the Family Solutions Officers continued to have a significant impact on the families that had been supported, showing both positive family outcomes and significant savings elsewhere in the public sector, and that this work supported families for a maximum of 12 months and as outcomes were achieved, new families were allocated for support.

Alternative Options Considered:

Although the Council could choose not to fund these posts, this could have an impact on their continuation, which in turn may have an impact on the numbers of families that can be supported across the District.

Conflicts of Interest Declared (and Dispensations Granted by the Monitoring Officer)

N/A

Consultation with Ward Member:

N/A

Contact Officer:

| Date: | Decision Maker: | Subject to Call-in* |
|-------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| 24 May 2024 | Cabinet | Yes |

Cabinet Members' Items - Report of the Partnerships Portfolio Holder - A.8 - Allocation of funding to the Mental Health Hub

Decision:

RESOLVED that Cabinet -

- a) approves the 'Subsidy Addressing Health Inequality Mental Health Subsidy Scheme for 2024/25';
- b) awards Citizen's Advice Tendring direct grant funding of £28,000 as a contribution to the provision of a Mental Health Hub for 2024/25; and
- c) requests Officers to ensure that the award is published on the Government's website, as soon as practicable.

Reasons for Decision:

In order to ensure:-

- (i) the Council was following its legal obligations under the Subsidy Control Act 2022 and statutory guidance; and
- (ii) funding was provided to deliver a Mental Health Hub to support people with poor mental health.

Alternative Options Considered:

Although the funding could be stopped the Council was only one funder with three other cofunders. If the Council stopped funding there was a possibility that other partners would not be able to make up the shortfall which would reduce the service for those with poor mental health locally.

Conflicts of Interest Declared (and Dispensations Granted by the Monitoring Officer)

N/A

Consultation with Ward Member:

N/A

Contact Officer:

| Date: | Decision Maker: | Subject to Call-in* |
|-------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| 24 May 2024 | Cabinet | Yes |

Cabinet Members' Items - Joint Report of the Corporate Finance & Governance Portfolio Holder and the Partnerships Portfolio Holder - A.9 - Part Funded Police Community Support Officer (PCSO) Harwich & Dovercourt

Decision:

RESOLVED that Cabinet -

- (a) approves the continuation of the arrangement to part-fund a Police Community Support Officer (PCSO), deployed in the Harwich & Dovercourt area, for a period of 12 months from 1st April 2024 until 31st March 2025, the cost of which is to be met from the associated funding identified, as part of the Quarter 3 Financial Performance 2023/24 that was considered by Cabinet, on 19 April 2024; and
- (b) that the continuation of this arrangement post 31 March 2025 will be considered as part of the future funding review of external posts and the options available during 2024/25 will be presented to Cabinet.

Reasons for Decision:

It was felt that the continued employment of a PCSO was important in order to continue engagement and reassurance patrols, conduct vulnerability visits, attend community events and work in conjunction with the Council's Community Ambassadors and Anti-Social Behaviour Officers in the Harwich/Dovercourt area.

Alternative Options Considered:

Although the Council could choose not to part fund this post, this would mean that 50% of the funding might not be available, and therefore, this could have an impact on the continuation of this post, which in turn might influence crime and the perception of crime, e.g., anti-social behaviour. In addition, this role helped deliver the Corporate Plan priorities.

Conflicts of Interest Declared (and Dispensations Granted by the Monitoring Officer)

Councillor I J Henderson declared an interest insofar as he was a Harwich Town Councillor and therefore a decision maker on this matter at Harwich Town Council. He withdrew from the meeting and took no part in the consideration of this matter nor the voting thereon.

Consultation with Ward Member:

Yes

Contact Officer:

| Date: | Decision Maker: | Subject to Call-in* |
|-------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| 24 May 2024 | Cabinet | Yes |

Cabinet Members' Items - Report of the Partnerships Portfolio Holder - A.10 - Proposed Variation to the existing Public Spaces Protection Order (PSPO) in Clacton Town Centre - including an extension to the area covered and new conditions to the existing Order

Decision:

RESOLVED that Cabinet –

- (a) notes the outcome of the public consultation to the proposed variation of the Public Spaces Protection Order for Clacton Town Centre, as presented within the report (A.10);
- (b) agrees that it is satisfied on reasonable grounds that the activity or behaviour covered by the proposed variation is carried out, or likely to be carried out, in a public space:
 - has had, or is likely to have, a detrimental effect on the quality of life of those in the locality;
 - is, or is likely to be, persistent or continuing in nature;
 - is, or is likely to be, unreasonable; and
 - justifies the restrictions imposed.
- (c) approves the variation to the areas covered by the current Town Centre Public Spaces Protection Order (as indicated within this report (A.10) and the map shown in Appendix C thereto) introducing the following additional conditions:
 - i. No person shall urinate, defecate, or spit within the public restricted area.
 - ii. No tent or other structures like a tree, wall, fence, pole, booth, or gate to be used anywhere within the restricted area shall be erected, unless prior authorisation is sought and agreed by the Council.
 - iii. All persons are prohibited from behaving in a way that causes or is likely to cause nuisance, harassment, alarm or distress to a member or members of the public.

<u>Note</u>: Those additional conditions would cover both the existing area of the Clacton town centre and the additional areas.

Reasons for Decision:

Being satisfied that the legal test had been met in relation to the extended area and additional conditions.

Alternative Options Considered:

To take no action in relation to varying the PSPO and to continue with the PSPO in its current format.

This option was discounted as it would not support the Council's Corporate Plan priority relating to working with partners to improve quality of life (areas in Tendring that have been subjected to ASB, i.e., where street drinkers and youths congregate and where crime takes place).

To not support this work would therefore be out of line with the Council's and Community Safety Partnership's current priorities.

Conflicts of Interest Declared (and Dispensations Granted by the Monitoring Officer)

N/A

Consultation with Ward Member:

Yes

Contact Officer:

Anastasia Simpson (Assistant Director (Partnerships))

| Date: | Decision Maker: | Subject to Call-in* |
|-------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| 24 May 2024 | Cabinet | No |

SUBJECT OF DECISION:

Matters Referred to the Cabinet by a Committee - Reference from the Community Leadership Overview & Scrutiny Committee - A.11 - Scrutiny of School Age Education Provision

Decision:

RESOLVED that the recommendations made by the Community Leadership Overview & Scrutiny Committee be noted and that the response of the Partnerships Portfolio Holder thereto be endorsed.

Reasons for Decision:

Having considered the recommendations made by the Community Leadership Overview and Scrutiny Committee and the response of the Partnerships Portfolio Holder thereto.

Alternative Options Considered:

Not to approve the Portfolio Holder's response.

Conflicts of Interest Declared (and Dispensations Granted by the Monitoring Officer)

N/A

Consultation with Ward Member:

Contact Officer:

Anastasia Simpson (Assistant Director (Partnerships))

| Date: | Decision Maker: | Subject to Call-in* |
|-------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| 24 May 2024 | Cabinet | No |

SUBJECT OF DECISION:

Matters Referred to the Cabinet by a Committee - Reference from the Community Leadership Overview & Scrutiny Committee - A.12 - Scrutiny of Mainstream and Community Transport provision in the District

Decision:

RESOLVED that the recommendations made by the Community Leadership Overview & Scrutiny Committee be noted and that the response of the Economic Growth, Regeneration & Tourism Portfolio Holder thereto be endorsed.

Reasons for Decision:

Having considered the recommendations made by the Community Leadership Overview and Scrutiny Committee and the response of the Economic Growth, Regeneration and Tourism Portfolio Holder thereto.

Alternative Options Considered:

Not to approve the Portfolio Holder's response.

Conflicts of Interest Declared(and Dispensations Granted by the Monitoring Officer)

None

Consultation with Ward Member:

N/A

Contact Officer:

Lee Heley (Corporate Director (Place & Economy))

| Date: | Decision Maker: | Subject to Call-in* |
|-------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| 24 May 2024 | Cabinet | No |

Matters Referred to the Cabinet by a Committee - Reference from the Community Leadership Overview & Scrutiny Committee - A.13 - Scrutiny of Improving Access to NHS Dentistry for Residents in Tendring

Decision:

RESOLVED that the recommendations made by the Community Leadership Overview & Scrutiny Committee be noted and that the response of the Partnerships Portfolio Holder thereto be endorsed.

Reasons for Decision:

Having considered the recommendations made by the Community Leadership Overview and Scrutiny Committee and the response of the Partnerships Portfolio Holder thereto.

Alternative Options Considered:

Not to approve the Portfolio Holder's response.

Conflicts of Interest Declared (and Dispensations Granted by the Monitoring Officer)

None

Consultation with Ward Member:

N/A

Contact Officer:

^{*} The call-in procedure will not apply to a decision where the Chairman of the relevant overview and scrutiny committee's agreement has been obtained that any delay likely to be caused by the call-in process wold seriously prejudice the Council's or the public's interest, (Rule 16 (h) of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules) or any decision made where such decision is to be referred to the Council or one of the overview and scrutiny committees for their consideration.